

75 / Reg for
Recons in
6-14-96

In re Application of:	GROUP 260
) Art Unit 2609
ZE'EV DRORI)
) Examiner: Weldon, U.
Serial No. 08/334,843)
)
Filed: November 4, 1994)
)
For: ELECTRONICALLY PROGRAMMA-)
BLE REMOTE CONTROL ACCESS)
SYSTEM)

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

This is in response to the office action mailed March 12, 1996.

Claims 95, 96 and 98-100 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting, as being unpatentable over claims 1-10 of 5,146,215. This rejection is mcoted by the terminal disclaimer filed herewith. Accordingly, the rejection should now be withdrawn.

The remaining rejection is under Section 103. Claims 95, 96 and 98-110 stand rejected under 35 USC § 103 as being unpatentable over Pinnow ('046) in view of Aydin, Tolson and Sanders et al. The rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claims 95-106 are drawn to an electronically program-
mable remote control vehicle security system, comprising a